Meta-analysis evaluation of the treatment of neonatal hypoxic–ischemic encephalopathy with ganglioside
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Abstract: The efficacy and safety of ganglioside in the treatment of neonates who suffer from hypoxic–ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) needs to be fully evaluated. We searched the following databases: PubMed, ScienceDirect, LISTA, CNKI, Chinese biomedical literature database and Wanfang digital journals of full-text database to determine the inclusion and exclusion criteria of papers and a total of 12 papers were included after quality evaluation. Then we conducted the meta-analysis with RevMan5.0 software. The results showed that compared with the control group, the abnormal rate declined in the ganglioside-treated group (relative risk (RR)=0.27, 95% confidence interval (CI)= 0.05–1.96). NBNA records of the 7, 10–14d neonates were improved effectively: RR (95% CI) were 2.28 (0.86–3.42) and 2.53 (1.04–2.92) respectively. Neural system sequelae incidence was reduced significantly: RR (95% CI) = 0.35: (0.15–0.79). Ganglioside treatment could effectively reduce the abnormality rate of head size, improve the neurological score, reduce the incidence of neurological sequelae, and significantly prompt clinical recovery for neonates with HIE.

Introduction

Neonatal hypoxic–ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) is a serious complication of neonatal asphyxia, which could cause neonatal cerebral palsy, chronic neurological damage of mental dysplasia, and even lead to death (Li and Yin, 2005). The pathogenesis of HIE is complicated, because apoptosis, NO synthesis, oxygen free radical, excitatory amino acid, and other physiological or biochemical mechanisms may be involved (Wu, 2003). To date, there are no accepted neuroprotective agents with definite clinical effects in clinical practices. The main therapeutic strategies to treat HIE rely on neuroprotective drugs that can relieve the functional nerve injury and the reduction of the rate of sequelae.

Studies have found that gangliosides, as a neurotrophic factor enhancer are present normally in the mammalian cell membrane and play important roles in hypoxic–ischemic brain damage with functions of protecting cell membrane, antagonizing the neurotoxicity of excitatory amino and also promoting the recovery of neural function (Palmano et al., 2015; Sheng and Li, 2017). Gangliosides are thought to significantly participate in maintaining the self-renewal capacity of neural stem cells, and hence neurogenesis, through sustaining EFG-induced EFGR signaling byinteracting EFGR in lipid raft micro domains (Wang and Yu, 2013).

It has been reported that gangliosides are involved in modulating the ion channel function and receptor signal transduction, both of which are vital functions of neuronal excitability and synaptic transmission (Nowycky et al., 2014). Gangliosides have been also shown to play key roles in activity-dependent long time potentiation in CA1 neurons of guinea pig hippocampal slices by modulating NMDA receptors/Ca$$^{2+}$$ channels (Fujii et al., 2002). The development of neonatal blood brain barrier is not complete, so exogenous gangliosides could cross the blood-brain barrier easily to regulate regeneration, differentiation of neurons, and repair of damaged neurons.

It has been indicated that treatment with gangliosides could strengthen efficacy and improve the prognosis (Mu, 2011; Lin and Geng, 2011). Currently gangliosides have been widely used in the treatment of HIE, but there are also some limitations such as expensive prices, empirical uses, and uncertain efficacy (Huang, 2011). This paper evaluated the efficacy and safety of gangliosides in the treatment of HIE systematically by Meta-analysis, hoping to provide theoretical bases and reference in clinical application of gangliosides.

Material and Methods

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: Admitting neonates who suffered from hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy was performed according to the diagnostic criteria that have been established by the Chinese Medical Science Society (Han, 1997) with no race, nationality, gender or age requirements.
Exclusion criteria: Neonates who suffered from congenital malformation, intracranial hemorrhage, meningitis, other inherited metabolic diseases or were exposed to gangliosides 1-2 weeks before delivery.

All the papers included in this study met the following criteria: 1. Similar research hypotheses and methods. 2. Define beginning time of studies or publication. 3. Explicit stipulation in sample size. 4. Clear criteria for patient selection, diagnosis and staging of cases. Define measures of intervention and control. 5. Studies providing odd ratio (OR), relative risk (RR), rate variance (RV), hazard rate (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI), or transferable OR (RR, RV, HR) and 95% CI. Measurement data should provide the means, standard deviation and sample size. Research papers with the following features were excluded: 1. Repeated report. 2. Deficient study design and poor quality. 3. Incomplete data and unclear outcome effect. 4. False statistical method, and no OR (RR, RV, HR) and 95% CI or measurement data not providing the mean and standard deviation. Papers or reviews from which data could not be extracted were not included.

Paper collection

Treatment methods and indexes
Treatment group adopted ganglioside intravenous injection plus conventional therapy while the control group only received conventional treatment. Conventional treatment is based on the guidelines for Evidence-based treatment of Hypoxic-Ischemic Encephalopathy in term infants (2011) developed by key Laboratory of Neonatal Diseases of the Ministry of Health (www.cjebp.net), including oxygen inhalation, improving ventilation, relieving hypercapnia, improving acidosis, keeping heart rate and blood pressure normal, mild hypothermia for the treatment of moderate to severe HIE infants. The efficacy parameters included the abnormal rate of head computed tomography (CT), neonatal behavioral neurological assessment (NBNA) score, incidence of neurological sequelae, and adverse drug reactions (ADRs).

Data extraction and quality evaluation
First, two researchers with the ability of paper retrieval read paper titles, abstracts and experiment conclusions parts independently, and then extracted data from these papers according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. If their opinions differed, decisions were made after their discussion or judged by a third party. The incomplete data could be supplemented by email or telephone contact with the original authors. The quality evaluation referred to the criteria of systematic evaluation manual Cochrane 5.0 RCT (Higgins and Green, 2008). Evaluation contents included the stochastic method, blind method, integrity of data and results.

Statistical analysis
Meta-analysis was performed using RevMan 5.0 software provided by Cochrane collaboration. Heterogeneity test followed by Chi-square test was conducted for extracted data. $P \geq 0.1$, I$^2$<50% indicated statistical homogeneity reliability and stochastic model analysis. Descriptive analysis was used when data could not be analyzed with meta-analysis. The abnormal rate of CT, NBNA score, and incidence of neurological sequelae were analyzed by using RR, and each statistic was represented by 95% CI.

Results
General information of the papers included in the study
There were 128 papers obtained from the preliminary search, 26 of which were retrieved from PubMed, 18 from ScienceDirect, 6 from LISTA, 54 from CNKI, 12 from Chinese biomedical paper database, and 12 from Wanfang digital journals of full-text database. According to the exclusion criteria, 12 papers were included. There were 563 cases in control groups and 578 cases in treatment groups in this study (Tab. 1).

Comparison of abnormal rate of head CT
Comparisons of abnormal rate of head CT were found in two searching items (Wen, 2011; Li and Yin, 2005) and there was no statistical heterogeneity between the two studies ($P=0.69$, I$^2=0$). The analysis of fixed effects model was adopted. The results showed that there was a statistically significant difference in the incidence of HIE between the two groups (RR=0.27, 95% CI: 0.05-1.96) (Tab. 2).

NBNA scores
There were two papers (Yan et al., 2010; Zhu, 2010) that contained comparison of NBNA scores after treatment with gangliosides for 7 days, and six papers (Li and Yin, 2005; Yang, 2012; Wang, 2009; Zhao, 2012; Sun and Wang, 2013; Song et al., 2009) that included comparison of NBNA scores after treatment with gangliosides for 14 days. The doses of gangliosides varied among different papers. There was no statistical heterogeneity among the studies ($P=0.69$, I$^2=0$). The analyzed results showed that there were statistically significant differences in the incidence of HIE between the groups (Tab. 3).

Neurological sequelae
Comparisons of neurological sequelae incidence between treatment and control groups were found in two items of research (Xiang and Wang, 2005; Zeng, 2009) and there was no statistical heterogeneity between the two studies ($P=0.73$, I$^2=0$) with fixed effect model analysis. The results indicated that statistically significant differences in the incidence of neurological sequelae between the two groups (RR=0.35, 95% CI: 0.15-0.79), suggesting that the application of gangliosides could significantly reduce the occurrence of HIE in neonates with neurological sequelae (Tab. 4).
Adverse reactions
Three studies found no adverse reactions (Xiang and Wang, 2005; Zhao, 2012; Zhu, 2010) and adverse reactions were not mentioned in other studies.

Discussion
This article evaluated systematically the treatment of neonatal HIE with gangliosides and the results of meta-analysis showed that the application of gangliosides can effectively reduce the abnormal rate of head CT, improve the NBNA scores in different periods after treatment, and effectively reduce the occurrence of nervous system sequelae. There were statistical differences in the indexes above between the treatment group with gangliosides and conventional one. On the basis of conventional treatment, the application of gangliosides can improve the prognosis of patients with HIE and promote recovery.

There may be some methodological defects owing to different qualities of the 12 papers included in this study. For example, studies using random methods and ambiguous grouping may lead to certain selective biases (Yan et al., 2010). It is necessary to use single or double blind methods, due to the subjective measurable indexes of neurobehavioral scores and head CT. In particular, the study by Xiang and Wang (2005) used no language limits in the retrieval process. However, the existence of certain publication biases cannot be excluded, as all the papers included in that study were in Chinese. According to the results of systematical evaluation, at present, methodology practices of random grouping, concealed grouping, single/double blind method, and follow-ups are still insufficient in clinical trial studies on the treatment of HIE with ganglioside as the effective evidences.

### Table 1
The general information of the papers included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Papers</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Treatment methods</th>
<th>Curative effect index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>Observation group</td>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>Observation group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan CH</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang HJ</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li L</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wen BX</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang LZ</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiang JJ</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guo WB</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao YH</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun SX</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhu YW</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song H</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeng L</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>conventional therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Comparison of abnormal rate of head CT in neonates with HIE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Abnormal cases</th>
<th>Total cases</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>95%CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>Observation group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li L</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang LZ</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 95%CI</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chisq=0.13, df=1(P=0.69), I²=0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall effect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Z=2.30, (P=0.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are absent in evaluations of efficacy and adverse reactions in the treatment of HIE with gangliosides. For case inclusion, descriptions of inclusion and exclusion criteria should be valued while conducting, according to accepted diagnostic criteria. In addition, the severity of the disease about cases included should be controlled or grouped.

**Conclusion**

Gangliosides are a kind of the agents used in comprehensive treatment of HIE. The usage of medication, including the dose, drug combination, duration and numbers of treatment course, should be adjusted according to the patient's condition when lacking of sufficient evidences. The blind, conventional and big loading usage of medication is discouraged. In the future of clinical studies, researchers should adopt more random, double-blind trials with good design, larger scale to continuously improve the quality of studies and describe the process of researches clearly according to the international general curative effect evaluation of scale and end-point measurement, in order to provide basis for gangliosides application in clinical treatment.
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